

AR-AR AGES OF NAKHLITES Y000593, NWA998, AND NAKHLA AND CRE AGE OF NWA998.

D. H. Garrison¹ and D. D. Bogard², ¹Lockheed Martin Corp., Houston TX 77058; ²ARES code SR, NASA-JSC, Houston, TX 77058

Introduction. The seven known Martian nakhlites are Nakhla, Lafayette, Governador Valadares, and four recent finds from hot and cold deserts: MIL03346 from the Transantarctic Mountains, a paired group from the Yamato Mountains (Y000593, Y000749, and Y000802; 1), and two from Morocco (NWA998 and NWA817; 2). Radiometric ages (Sm-Nd, Rb-Sr, U-Pb, and Ar-Ar) for the first three nakhlites, along with Chassigny, fall in the range of 1.19-1.37 Gyr and may suggest a common formation age (e.g., 3). These meteorites also show very similar cosmic-ray (space) exposure ages, suggesting a single ejection event from Mars (3). The ages for nakhlites are different from those of Martian shergottites, whose radiometric ages vary by nearly a factor of three (~165-475 Myr) and whose space exposure ages vary over a factor of ~20 (3). Shergottite ages suggest that multiple locations on the Martian surface have been sampled, whereas nakhlite data imply sampling of only one Mars surface location. Because older Martian surfaces are expected to be more abundant, it seems surprising that all nakhlites would represent only one Martian impact event. To address this issue, we are measuring the ³⁹Ar-⁴⁰Ar ages of Y-000593, NWA-998, Nakhla, and MIL-03346, and the space (CRE) exposure age of NWA998.

NWA-998. A preliminary Sm-Nd age of 1.29 ±0.05 Gyr was given by (4). A plagioclase-enriched sample received from A. Irving (2) was sieved, and the 100-200 mesh size was used to produce a low magnetic susceptibility fraction, which was then cleaned of the abundant clay terrestrial weathering products common in hot desert meteorites. The Ar-Ar age spectrum as a function of cumulative release of ³⁹Ar (produced from ³⁹K during neutron irradiation) is shown in Fig. 1. (Ages are rectangles on the left scale and K/Ca ratios are a stepped line on the right scale.) The first ~20% of the ³⁹Ar release gave higher K/Ca ratios, consistent with either mesostasis or terrestrial weathering, and slightly younger ages, consistent with diffusion loss of ⁴⁰Ar. Across 24-80% of the ³⁹Ar release, both the K/Ca ratio (~0.2) and the Ar age are relatively constant. Sixteen extractions give an average age of 1.332 ±8 Myr (1σ). Above 80% ³⁹Ar release a decrease in the K/Ca ratio indicates degassing of Ar from a second phase with a lower K/Ca ratio, possibly augite. We interpret the decrease in Ar-Ar age for these extractions to be the result of degassing of ³⁹Ar that recoiled during production in the reactor and was implanted in grain surfaces of this second phase. Our sample gave no indication for the presence of trapped Martian Ar.

Y-000593. The Ar-Ar age spectrum of a 47 mg whole rock sample was determined as part of a consortium study of radiometric ages (5) and is shown in Fig. 2. Most of the ³⁹Ar release derives from the mesostasis with a K/Ca ratio of ~0.2-0.9. The first 5-10% of the ³⁹Ar release suggests a small amount of ⁴⁰Ar diffusive loss, but there is no indication in these data, nor in the data for NWA998, for a Martian weathering age of ~0.67 Gyr, as has been suggested for some other Nakhlites (6). Between ~10-90% ³⁹Ar release the age decreases from ~1.5 Gyr to ~1.36 Gyr. A small ³⁹Ar recoil effect is suggested at ~95% ³⁹Ar release, where a substantial decrease in the K/Ca ratio implies the onset of Ar degassing from plagioclase and/or pyroxene. Collectively, the Ar data imply the presence of a trapped Ar component, which can be separated from radiogenic ⁴⁰Ar by use of a ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar versus ³⁹Ar/³⁶Ar isochron plot. Because an isochron assumes a two-component Ar mixture, we first utilized the lowest ³⁶Ar/³⁷Ar ratios to calculate the cosmogenic ³⁶Ar concentration in each extraction, and then subtracted this value from the measured ³⁶Ar. The corrected isochron plot for extractions releasing 15-84% of the ³⁹Ar gives an Ar-Ar age of 1.359 ±0.005 Gyr (1σ), a precise R² of 0.99998, and a ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar intercept of 1502 ±159. This trapped ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar ratio is very similar to the trapped Martian atmospheric value we determined in some shergottites (7). The corrected isochron age falls at the upper range of various nakhlite ages. It is also possible that Y000593 contains some excess radiogenic ⁴⁰Ar, which was inherited from the melt, resides in late-forming mesostasis, and is not resolved by the isochron. In this case the isochron age would be an upper limit. Our sample contained ~2 ×10⁻¹⁰ cm³STP/g of trapped ³⁶Ar, likely Martian.

Nakhla. The Ar-Ar age spectrum for a whole rock sample is shown in Fig. 3. The first ~10% of the ³⁹Ar release indicates diffusive loss of radiogenic ⁴⁰Ar, and the pronounced decrease in age above ~90% ³⁹Ar release is attributed to degassing of recoiled ³⁹Ar from surfaces of plagioclase or pyroxene grains. Seven extractions releasing ~61-91% of the ³⁹Ar give an apparent plateau age of 1.359 ±0.006 Gyr (1σ). The elevated age over ~11-41% ³⁹Ar release is attributed to a trapped Martian Ar component. An isochron plot of ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar versus ³⁹Ar/³⁶Ar for five extractions (11-51% ³⁹Ar release; R²=0.999; corrected for cosmogenic ³⁶Ar) suggests an age of 1.33 ±0.02 Gyr and an intercept of 3691 ±638. The high trapped ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar value indicates that both Martian atmospheric Ar and excess radiogenic ⁴⁰Ar are present. A corrected isochron for

nine extractions (41-91% ^{39}Ar release; $R^2=0.9998$) gives an age of 1.357 ± 0.007 Gyr (1σ) and a $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ intercept of 9 ± 186 . Our sample contained up to $\sim 5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3\text{ST/g}$ of trapped Martian ^{36}Ar . The slight disagreement in the isochron ages for 11-51% ^{39}Ar release and 41-91% ^{39}Ar release, and the presence of trapped Martian Ar only at lower temperatures, has two explanations. Either the K-Ar age for Nakhla is ~ 1.36 Gyr and extractions below $\sim 50\%$ ^{39}Ar release have experienced some diffusive loss of radiogenic ^{40}Ar ; or, the age is ~ 1.33 Gyr and excess radiogenic ^{40}Ar was released at all extractions. Our Ar age for Nakhla is slightly older than Ar-Ar ages of 1.32-1.33 Gyr recently reported for whole rock samples of Nakhla and Lafayette by (6), who also found elevated ages at intermediate extraction temperatures and derived their ages from higher temperature argon releases.

Space (CRE) Exposure Ages. We analyzed a pure olivine separate of NWA998 (furnished by A. Irving) for cosmogenic noble gases, and from these data calculated the space, or cosmic ray exposure age. We used the determined chemical composition of the olivine and the chemical-based production rates given by (8). The ages are $^3\text{He} = 12.7$ Myr, $^{21}\text{Ne} = 12.3$ Myr, and $^{38}\text{Ar} = 9.3$ Myr. The cosmogenic $^{22}\text{Ne}/^{21}\text{Ne} = 1.164$. These ages are virtually identical to analogous ages reported for Nakhla, Lafayette, Governador Valadares (9) and to the Yamato paired nakhlites (10, 11). Average CRE ages for five nakhlites are $^3\text{He} = 12.2 \pm 0.4$, $^{21}\text{Ne} = 11.7 \pm 1.6$, and $^{38}\text{Ar} = 9.4 \pm 0.25$ Myr. All nakhlite CRE ages based on Ar are significant younger than those based on He and Ne, an unexplained characteristic also observed among some shergottite CRE ages.

Conclusion. The preferred radiometric ages of three nakhlites were listed as 1.27-1.33 Gyr (3), which may leave open the question of whether the nakhlites have a common formation age. The Ar-Ar age of NWA998 is identical to Ar ages for Governador Valadares (3) and Nakhla and Lafayette (5). The slightly older Ar-Ar ages we obtained for Y000593 and Nakhla are likely caused by radiogenic ^{40}Ar in the original melt, which was incorporated into late-crystallizing mesostasis. Thus, we suggest that all dated nakhlites probably have a common Ar-Ar age. In addition, the CRE ages determined for all nakhlites are the same within calculation uncertainties. This implies that all nakhlites were ejected from Mars in a single impact event from a common Martian location.

References. (1) Kojima et al., *Antarctic Meteorites XXVII*, 66-69, NIPR, Tokyo, 2002; (2) Irving et al., *Meteoritics Planet. Sci.* 37, A70, 2002; (3) Nyquist et al., Ages of Martian meteorites, *Space Science Rev.* 96, 2001; (4) Carlson & Irving, LPSC 35, #1442, 2004; (5) Misawa et al., *Antarctic Meteorite Res.*, in press, 2004; (6) Swindle & Olsen, *Meteoritics*

Planet. Sci. 39, p.693, 2004; (7) Bogard & Garrison, *Meteoritics Planet. Sci.* 39, p.451, 1999; (8) Eugster & Michel, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 59, p.177, 1995; (9) Eugster et al., *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 61, p.2749, 1997; (10) Okazaki et al., *Antarctic Meteorite Res.* 16, p.58, 2003; (11) Christen et al., *Antarctic Meteorites XXVIII*, p.6, 2004.

